O
0
T
m
D
*
9
ő
===
7

Page 1, of 7

**FILED** 

1	NAME: 16hal 6000 AUG 0 5 2008
2	II CDC NO 1 X 2 6 3 3
3	CELL NO.: E-1-88 Po Box 1050  Soledad Ca 93960  RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	Soled Ad CA 93960
4	
5	Plaintiff In Pro Se
6	
7	
8	United States District Court For
9	NORTHERN DistrIct
10	(Insert Court Name And District)
11	In the matter of ) CASE NO.:
12	John Good , CASE NO .:
13	Plaintiff, )
14	vs. Test of Connections) OF COUNSEL, AND SUPPORTING
15	Calif Dept of Corrections)  HEAD LIBRARIAN / Sot GONZAles, AUTHORITIES AND DECLARATION.
16	Defendants.
.	
17	TC: THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT;
18	<u>I</u>
19	INTRODUCTION
20	1. COMES NOW, $7-7-08$ , plaintiff in the
21	above-entitled matter, pursuant to 28 USC § 1915(d), and moves
22	the Court for assignment of counsel to assist plaintiff in
23	
$_{24}$	further litigation of this action.
25	2. This Motion is based upon the forthcoming facts,
26	memorandum of points and authorities, the attached declaration
	of plaintiff, as well as all other papers currently on file in
27	this matter.

-1.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

II

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 3. Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at the California Soledad CA 93960 SILINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON
- 4. Plaintiff currently lacks any meaningful source of income to utilize in employing services of Counsel to provide experienced legal advice and skills to further the litigation of this case.
- 5. Plaintiff only has limited access to a law library and other materials necessary to facilitate proper legal research; the time permitted to study available legal materials is extremely limited during access periods.
- 6. Plaintiff is a layman at the law with little experience in the complex and confusing methods of legal research, reasoning, and writing. Plaintiff has virtually no knowledge of proper Federal Procedural Rules, Rules of Court, or Rules of Civil Procedure which are a fundamental necessity and of critical importance to proceed with important discovery and in obtaining certain types of evidence plaintiff believes defendants currently possess or have access to.
- 7. Plaintiff does not know what papers should now be filed or submitted to the court or defendants, to further the litigation of this case. Plaintiff has attempted to read and understand the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, but plaintiff has been left predominantly confused regarding appropriate action at this point.
- 8. Plaintiff sincerely desires to pursue the above-entitled action and seek the relief requested in the original complaint

previously filed in this matter.

9. Plaintiff has limited formal education and no education in the law. Plaintiff does not understand or comprehend complex legal reasoning, standards, or language when attempting to study case precedent, codes, statutes, and other legal publications. Plaintiff was required to enlist assistance of fellow prisoners with limited knowledge of the law, in order to prepare and file the pleadings previously filed with the court; including this current Motion For Assignment Of Counsel. The assistance of fellow prisoners is nearly non-existent due the confinement conditions of my current housing unit; in fact, it is primarily due to pre-printed forms available in the law library plaintiff was able to submit the instant Motion and other papers on file in this action.

## <u>III</u>

## REASONS THE COURT SHOULD ASSIGN COUNSEL

- 10. Plaintiff is unable to employ counsel; (see Forma Pauperis application on file in the above-entitled action.)
- 11. The issues involved in this matter are complex and it is difficult for plaintiff to understand how to further proceed to press plaintiff's claims to a final resolution.
- 12. The issues involved necessitate serious and complex discovery proceedings to be undertaken by plaintiff in order to prepare for further proceedings on summary judgment or preparation for trial.
- 13. The prison limits plaintiff's access and time with law books and other legal materials necessary to facilitate proper legal research and drafting of papers.

- 14. Plaintiff has very little legal experience and knowledge of law.
- 15. The interests of justice and the economy of judicial resources would be best served by assignment of counsel to assist plaintiff in this action.

DATED: 7-6-08

(Signature)

John Good

(Print Name)

Plaintiff In Pro-Se

///

///

12

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14 ///

15 ///

16 ///

| ///

17 ///

///

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ARGUMENT

Plaintiff points out there is clear statutory authority under which the District Court may appoint or assign counsel to assist pro se plaintiff in litigating this civil action.; (28 USC § 1915(d); (in relationship to 42 USC § 1983); also see Norris v. Wainwright, (1977) 538 F.2d 130, 133-134; ("fundamental fairness" is the test by which the decision to appoint assistance of counsel rests.)

Another primary consideration is the right of every litigant, rich or poor, to equal consideration before the courts; (Coppedge v. United States, (1962) 369 U.S. 438, 456.) Even without statutory authority federal courts, in a proper situation or prevailing circumstances of a case, may assign counsel to assist an indigent state prisoner plaintiff under the court's supervisory powers and sound discretion; (McNabb v. United States, (1943) 318 U.S. 332, 346-347; also see The Supervisory Power of the Federal Courts, 76 Harv. L. 1656.)

Some courts hold counsel is not necessary "unless the circumstances of the particular case are such that counsel would be vital to attain due process, or access to the courts." (Eskridge v. Rhay, (1965) 345 F.2d 778, 782; Anderson v. Heinze, (1958) 258 F.2d 479, 482, 484; <u>Dillon v. United States</u>, (1962) 307 F.2d 445, 447; Bounds v. Smith, (1977) 430 U.S. 817, 823-832.)

Plaintiff is well aware the United States Supreme Court and Congress have never held a civil litigant has a right to have counsel assigned for assistance in pursuing claims in the

courts. However, the question of whether or not to assign counsel is solely within the discretion of the court and that sound discretion "requires that counsel be appointed at least in some cases." (United States v. Wilkens, (1964) 338 F.2d 404, 406; United States v. Wilkens, (1960) 281 F.2d 707, 715.)

Many entanglements and unnecessary delays and expense to the judiciary and parties may be avoided by assignment of counsel; (Taylor v. Pegelow, 335 F.2d 147, 150.) At least one District Court has viewed in the context of habeas corpus that, unless the application could be dismissed "summarily," counsel should be assigned to assist the impoverished "layman prisoner"; (Cullins v. Crouse, (1965) 348 F.2d 887, 889.)

In civil rights actions under 42 USC § 1983, to redress injuries inflicted by individuals "acting under color of state law", courts have considered assignment of counsel to be of fundamental importance where the plaintiff (prisoner civil litigant) must conduct discovery to pursue the case and the plaintiff cannot conduct the discovery himself; (Murrell v. Bennett, (1980) 615 F.2d 306, 309.) Perhaps more importantly, "summary judgment" proceedings against a prisoner plaintiff, unable to secure discovery to ward off such judgment, cannot be permitted against a layman prisoner plaintiff unaware of proper opposition to such proceedings; (Ibid, at 310-311.)

In this case, as stated in the foregoing facts and forthcoming attached declaration, plaintiff has clearly demonstrated he cannot proceed further in litigating this meritorious proceeding without assistance from someone knowledgeable in the intricacies entailed in pursuit of this

28

	DECLARATION SUPPORTING MOTION FOR COUNSED
9	I, John Good , declare the following:
3	l. I am the plaintiff in the above-entitled action.
4	2. I am currently a state prison inmate with no meaningful
5	source of income to utilize in employing assistance of counsel.
6	3. My personal attempts to read law books, Rules of Court
7	and Court Procedures have resulted in utter confusion and
8	furthered my inability to comprehend what action I should take
9	next to further prosecute this action.
10	4. I have had to obtain the assistance of other prisoners,
11	who appeared to have some knowledge of law, under very difficult
12	and restrictive conditions to assist me in writing and filing
13	the papers currently on file before the court.
14	5. Civil Right Violations
15	First, sixth, & FORTEENTH AMENDMENTS FIRST NOW 7-6-08
16	
17	6. <u>Civil Rights Violation Eigth Amendment Filled</u>
18	7-1-08
19	
20	7.
21	
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the
23	State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and
24	that this declaration was executed on $(date) = 7-6-08$
25	at (place) SoledAd , California,
26	John Look

Plaintiff In Pro-Se